

Public

GC0139

Workgroup 24, 22 May 2025

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME

Meeting Recording

Public Expectations of a Workgroup Member

Contribute to the discussion

Be respectful of each other's opinions

Language and Conduct to be consistent with the values of equality and diversity

Do not share commercially sensitive information

Be prepared - Review Papers and Reports ahead of meetings

Complete actions in a timely manner

Keep to agreed scope

Email communications to/cc'ing the .box email

Your Roles

Help refine/develop the solution(s)

Bring forward alternatives as early as possible

Vote on whether or not to proceed with requests for Alternatives

Vote on whether the solution(s) better facilitate the Code Objectives

Agenda

Topics to be discussed	Lead
Introductions	Chair
Objectives and Timeline <ul style="list-style-type: none">Action Log	Chair
Review updated solution and changes made to legal text	Proposer
Terms of Reference	Chair
Any Other Business	Chair
Next Steps	Chair

Objectives and Timeline

Teri Puddefoot – NESO Code
Administrator



Timeline for GC0139 as at May 2025

Milestone	Date	Milestone	Date
Workgroup 22 – Finalise Consultation	27 November 2024	Code Administrator Consultation	31 July 2025 to 01 August 2025
Workgroup Consultation (15 business days) <i>15 clear business days minimum (20 clear business days in holiday periods if doesn't unduly delay timeline)</i>	17 December 2024 – 21 Jan 2025	Draft Final Modification Report (DFMR) issued to Panel (5 business days) 5 clear business days minimum	17 September 2025
Workgroup 22 – Review Consultation responses	14 February 2025	Panel undertake DFMR recommendation vote	25 September 2025
Workgroup 23 – Review	22 May 2025	Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly Ideally issued within 2 business days of Panel's DFMR recommendation vote. They have 5 clear business days to check.	29 September 2025
Workgroup 24 Review Workgroup Report and Workgroup vote	19 June 2025	Final Modification Report issued to Ofgem This is clear 5 business days after Final Modification Report is issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly	07 October 2025
Workgroup report issued to Panel (5 business days) 5 clear business days minimum	16 July 2025	Ofgem decision (X business days) Typically TBC or decision requested/needed by DD Month Year	TBC
Panel sign off that Workgroup Report has met its Terms of Reference	24 July 2025	Implementation Date Typically 1 April date if a CUSC charging change; 10 business days after Ofgem decision for anything else. There are exceptions depending on the change itself.	

Action Log

Action number		Workgroup Raised	Owner	Action	Due by	Status
24	WG21	GL/SM	Discuss GSR029 with proposer to ensure alignment of 'Group Demand' definition		WG22	Open
35	WG22	PT	To identify any consequential changes to STC/STCP		WG24	Open
36	WG23	PT	Provide INA with the slide pack related to option 4 and invite them to reach out with any concerns.		WG24	Open
37	WG23	SA	Schedule a meeting with NGED (SW) & the Proposer (IP) to address the concerns raised by UK Power Networks. The meeting will include a detailed discussion on the GAP analysis and the maturity of the CIM format to ensure alignment and confidence in the implementation approach.		WG24	Open
38	WG23	IP	Discuss with Graham from Scottish Power to clarify the details required to determine whether additional costs would be incurred to implement the proposal		WG24	Open
39	WG23	IP/TP	Create a pro-forma for the DNOs to estimate the costs associated with implementing the proposal		WG24	Open
40	WG23	TP	TP to inform the Panel of the revised timeline, indicating that the Workgroup Report is anticipated to be ready by July 2025.	Going to May Panel	WG24	Open

Review updated solution and changes made to legal text

Proposer



Terms of Reference

Teri Puddefoot – NESO Code
Administrator




Terms of Reference

Workgroup Term of Reference	Location in Workgroup Report (to be completed at Workgroup Report stage)
a) Implementation and costs;	
b) Review draft legal text should it have been provided. If legal text is not submitted within the Grid Code Modification Proposal the Workgroup should be instructed to assist in the developing of the legal text;	
c) Consider whether any further Industry experts or stakeholders should be invited to participate within the Workgroup to ensure that all potentially affected stakeholders have the opportunity to be represented in the Workgroup. Demonstrate what has been done to cover this clearly in the report	
d) Be aware of and consider cross code impacts, and consider co-ordinate submission and implementations	
e) Consider EBR implications	
f) Consideration of any unintended consequences of effectively redefining the observability area	
g) Consider the Ofgem Letter of 10 January 2022	
h) Consider any implications of GC0117; and	
i) Consider any temporary governance arrangements required prior to any formal governance being in place	

Any Other Business

Teri Puddefoot – NESO Code
Administrator



Next Steps

Teri Puddefoot – NESO Code
Administrator

